ļ

organs of the German Communist emigration, where they could be used of the Third International to Popular Front policies against fascism, repudiated. After the Nazi seizure of power in Germany, and the switch by mordant attacks on novels by Willi Bredel, a worker-writer who had writings and gradually acquired a commanding position as a critic responsibilities in the Hungarian Communist Party, turned to aesthetic It was during this decade that Lukies, having abandoned political theoretical essays of the thirties have still, however, to be published known in the English-speaking world. Translations of his most importan intelligentsia and as an aesthetic counterpoint to political attacks on 'leftism' within the Lukács's literary views became increasingly influential in the official writers, and his conception of an objectivist 'anti-aristotelian' theatre yakov, was expressly linked to the negative trend exemplified by these in their fiction. Brecht himself, together with the Soviet writer Tretstitution of journalistic 'reportage' for classical 'creation of characters' associate and collaborator of Brecht, for what he alleged was the subbeen a turner in the engineering industry, and Ernst Ottwalt, a close the KPD in late 1928. Lukáos first distinguished himself in Linkskurve (BPRS) or Association of Proletarian Revolutionary Writers, created by hurve, the organ of the Bund Proletarisch-Revolutionarer Schriftsteller in the Third Period phase of the Comintern, as a contributor to Linkswithin the ranks of the German literary left. His debut in this role occurred The general literary canons of Georg Lukics are by now relatively wellthe workers' movement? In exile, Lukács's next

 $\mathbb{R}^{n\times N}$

of literary creation were insistently pilloried for 'formalism' by Lukies Those modern artists who ignored or contravened these regulative norms of Balzac and Tolstoy as classical models for the contemporary novel finction between passive description and active narrative, the extolment rejection of both external reportage and internal psychologism, the disnotion of the typical character as a nexus of the social and individual, the his life: the reiterated antithesis between naturalism and realism, the of the doctrine of literary realism that he was to maintain for the rest of Beschreiben?. In this text, he set out the main categories and principles lished his richest and most seminal essay of the period. Erzählen oder phases of his own artistic development. Two years later, Lukács pubtwenties. Lukács had thus assailed, in reverse order, both the two main like many other German writers of his generation, had of course started vigorously belaboured in the journal Internationale Literatur in January target was the legacy of expressionism in German literature, which he 1934, in an essay entitled 'Grusse und Verfall des Expressionismus'. Brecht, as a para-expressionist himself, in his plays of the early

ambience of the Committeen. Benjamin, recording his conversations with et al are giving Brecht a good deal of trouble? Nominally, Brecht was like Kurella, acquired more and more official authority within the (where Lukáes had moved in 1933) and seconded by lesser associates epoch, and a fellow. Marxist, evidently came to feel an increasing pressure and isolation as Lukács's precepts, ably articulated in the USSR itself Brecht in Denmark in 1938, noted: 'The publications of Lukács, Kurella Brecht, the greatest German writer to have emerged in the Weiman

is no doubt that they were to be politically instrumentalized as such, it is emphatically not

be seen essentially as a collocal justification or derivative of the Popular Front. While there

subsequent issues. Ottwalt co-scripted the film Kable Hampe with Brecht in the same year Gestaltung? Kritische Bemerkungen anlasslich eines Rumans von Ortwalt in Linksforce,

July-August 1932, followed by a reply by Ortwalt and a concluding rejoinaler by Lukics in 2 Since the Second World War, it has often been alleged that Lukies's critical views can

'See 'Willi Bredels Romane' in Linkshirer, November 1931, and 'Reportage oder

of the Popular Front turn by some months. The advent of the new policy in mid-1934 of the party more flexibly than its mainline political strategy warranted, and covered Lukios's orientations in his new field by at least three years. This was paradoxically possible at the On the contrary, having abandoned political for literary work in 1929 precisely occause of the factical frimmings of his pronouncements. The substance of his aesthetic positions which finally synchronized Lukács's evolution with that of the Comintern, at most affected After the Nazi seizure of power, Lukaes's attack on expressionism still predated the adoption been arrayed at by an original and independent route much earlier. Hulgo Gallas, Alarmidische Erteratur-ahenra, Nieuwied, Berlin 1971, esp., pp. 60, 68-9, 200) literary flanks in Linkshurre. (For the complex history of this conjuncture in the BPRS, see 1931-2 of Heinz Neumann and Willi Munzenberg, who sought to use the cultural apparatus height of the KPD's rabid campaigns against 'social-fascism', because of the protection in his upposition to the sectarrian policies of the Third Period, he antecipated Popular Front the east that they represented an explost facts ultipration of his convictions on Eukaes's part

national for nearly two decades, while Breeld's convergence with the KPD was relatively dis spisode, that Lukäes had been an official and senior Communist militant in the laterrecent and had not led to formal party attembership. 'See below, 'Conversations with Brecht', p. 95. It should be remembered, in assessing

The second of the second secon

Literatur in West Germany.

cation of Subrkamp Verlag's edition of his Schriften zur Kunst und

may well have himself decided against any release of these articles. In theniselves - like the dead." At the height of the Great Terror, Brecht you?" - Brecht: "Actually, no, I haven't. Neither have the Muscovites opinion of someone from over there. You've got friends there haven't advice. "There are questions of power involved. You ought to get the

the event, they first came to light in 1967, with the posthumous publi-

publish them. As, at the same time, he told me that Lukács's position he read some of the texts, reports that: 'He asked my advice whether to him from ever sending them, still remains unclear. Benjamin, to whom rejected, or whether his own characteristic tactical prudence dissuaded Whether Brecht submitted them to Das Wort in Moscow and they were were ever published in Das Wort, or anywhere else, in Brecht's life-time. stündichkeit und Realismus (IV), are translated below. None of them

"over there" is at the moment very strong, I told him I could offer no

Presentation II 63

as public interventions in Das Wort - where a major debate was meanmost important of these texts written by Brecht, entitled respectively defended by, among others, Ernst Bloch and Hanns Eisler. The four while still raging over the issue of expressionism, whose merits had been series of trenchant and sardonic counter-attacks against Lukács, designed to his feelings in violent objurgations in his private diaries, he wrote a Realismustheorie (11), Bermerkungen zu einem Aufsatz (111), and Volk-Die Essays von Georg Lukács (I), Über den formalistischen Charakter der his own exile in Svendborg. During 1938 however, besides giving vent prestige reasons on the mast-head, and he had no say in its policy from Willi Bredel and Leon Feuchtwanger. In fact, his name was used for published in Moscow between 1936 and 1939; his two colleagues being himself one of the three co-editors of the emigré front journal Das Wort

of arguments designed to demolish the whole tenor of Lukács's aesthetic essentially bourgeois writers, and his claim that their literary achieve-On the centrary, it was caustic and aggressive, mustering a wide range ments should serve as a guide to proletarian or socialist writers in the Lukács's view of the great European realists of the 19th century as To start with, Brecht fastened on the manifest contradiction between cations of his name after the first text, was in no way defensive in tone Brecht's polemic against Lukáes, while avoiding overly frequent invo-

20th century: for if the novels of Balzac or Tolstoy were determinate * See below, pp. 97--8.

another and antagonistic class? The social reality of capitalism had recreated in a subsequent phase of history, dominated by the struggles of could any Marxist argue that the principles of their fiction could be structed, even within the aesthetic field itself - its overwhelming prechampion and practitioner; was not merely an aesthetic optic: it was a encompasses and transforms all literature in its own processes of change and unreless formalism, by attempting to deduce norms for prose purely or montage, it was actually Lukács himself who had fallen into a dehuded because of its use of such fragmented techniques as interior monologue precluded the peculiar pattern of conflicting passions typical of Balzac. would actually be a signal flight from realism. The position of women in longer produced historical forms of individuality of the Balzacian or undergone radical modifications in the 20th century, and necessarily no products of a particular phase of class history, now superseded, how omitted genres were, of course, those in which Brecht himself excelled occupation with the novel, to the exclusion of poetry or drama. The political and philosophical vision of the world and the material struggles True realism, of which Brecht considered himself to be a staunch Conversely, where Lukács charged 'modernist' writing with formalism the contemporary USA, for example, let alone the USSR, structurally Tolstoyan type - hence to refurbish such figures in new conditions experience as a playwright as evidence that proletarian audiences and such tended to render works of art alien or incomprehensible to the of technique was not the mark of a 'mechanical' improverishment of art. within a single work were all permissible and fruitful, so long as the) epoch of history. Interior monologue, montage, or mixture of as the price of the invention of new aesthetic devices in any transitional the indispensable need for experimentation in the arts, and the necessary culture after 1918 had been first developed in the theatre. Brecht stressed More generally, many of the most radical innovations within German narrow range of literature in terms of which Lukács's theory was conthat divided it. At the same time, Brecht pointed out the extremely from literary traditions, without regard for the historical reality that masses, moreover, was a fundamental error. Tartly reminding Lukáes but a sign of energy and liberty. The fear that technical novelties as were disciplined by a watchful truthfulness to social reality. Fertility freedom of the artist to be allowed to fail, or only partially to succeed participants welcomed experimental audacity on the stage, and were leisurely narratives of Balzac or Tolstoy, Brecht invoked that working-class readers might often find notable fougueurs in the

ð,

generous rather than censorious towards artistic excesses, where these were committed. By contrast, any fixed or inherited concept of 'popular art' (Valkstämlichkeit) was contaminated by notoriously reactionary traditions, especially in Germany. To reach the exploited classes in the tempestuous era of their final struggle with their exploiters, art had to change together with their own revolutionary change of the world and of themselves.

attention to the past, as the precondition of the present, than anything nostalgic or retrograde; but analytically it was far more serious in its The precepts for 20th-century art with which it concluded were often development of European literature from the Enlightenment onwards attempt to construct a systematic Marxist account of the historical For all its narrowness and rigidity, Lukáes's work represented a real not capable of advancing any positive alternative to it, on the same plane out the weaknesses and paradoxes of Lukács's literary theory, he was tions as a negative fin de non reservoir. For while Brecht was able to single strength on Brecht's case. At the same time, it also indicates its limitaof the artist to the critic, as practioner to spectator, confers much of its people. Every one of their criticisms contains a threat.'5 This reaction They want to play the apparatchik and exercise control over other what's going to come out. And they themselves don't want to produce. with production; production is the unforeseeable. You never know Production makes them uncomfortable. You never know where you are outburst to Benjamin: 'They are, to put it bluntly, enemies of production. his colleagues in Moscow against formalism, can be judged from his dangers to his own work latent in the generic strictures of Lukács and in the socialist movement. The intensity of Brecht's feelings about the soberly - the basic necessity for constant freedom of artistic experiment other Marxist writer has defended (and illustrated) so forcefully - because contemporary art remains largely unanswerable. Moreover, perhaps no anomalies and contradictions of his adversary's recommendations for effective in their own terms. Brecht's diagnosis of the insurmountable of 1968. Few critiques of Lukies's aesthetic theory have been so tersely since the recent publication of his texts, on the eve of the political rebirth have, in fact, won very wide assent on the Marxist Left in West Germany oblique polemic between the two, are plain and tonic. Brecht's positions Brecht was to assay. Brechtian aesthetic maxims always remained pro-The legitimacy and stringency of Brecht's riposte to Lukács in the

Below, p. 97.

along the contrast between Brecht and Lukács, such as have currently and cultural bonds that made them interlocutors as well as antagonists to Mann, are suggestive of the divisions between them. But their comways they shared. In their own time, Lukács's aversion to Joyce, Brecht's cipated than those of Lukies, but his theoretical reach was much in the thirties. mon denunciation of Dostoevsky or Kafka is a reminder of the political developed in West Germany, overlook certain limits that in different to axe contemporary aesthetic debates within Marxism too centrally thusiasm for Asian cultures was superficial and mythopoeic.6 Tendencies borrowings, rather than repressive traditions), while his sporadic enattitude to the European past was at best empirical and eclectic (random shallower. The great vices of Lukács's system were its consistent Eurotypology of universal drama. Brecht's precepts were far more emanapology for his particular practice, rather than a genuine explanatory own way, even his doctrine of the theatre was essentially an expeditious gramme notes for his own productions. A remarkable achievement in its history. Brecht was not in a position to correct these defects; his own European literature itself - in other words, it suffered from too little pocentrism, and its arbitrary selectivity within the diverse strands of

This degree of affinity can be seen most clearly by comparison with the two other outstanding German Marxists concerned with literature in the same period, Benjamin and Adorno.7 Both the latter, of course, assigned pivotal importance to the work of Kafka, not to speak of Mallatmé or Proust. At the same time, there is a curious synenetry between the relationships of Benjamin to Adorno and Brecht to Lukács. With the Nazi consolidation of power, the German emigration had dispersed in opposite directions. By 1938, Lukács was institutionally installed in the USSR, Adorno was similarly established in the USA; Brecht remained solitary in Denmark, Benjamin in France. The personal, perhaps political, friendship between Brecht and Benjamin was much closer than their official relationships with Lukács or Adorno. Nevertheless, the main intellectual field of tension for both lay with their symbolically distributed correspondents in Moscow and New

[•] His uncritical cult of the Chinese philosophers Mo Ti (see his Buch der Wendunger) and Confucius (to whom he dedicated a projected play), as repositories of Oriental wisdom, are examples. The virulent campaigns revently orchestrated against Confucius in China east an ironic light on Brecht's predilection for the latter. Needless to say, neither attitude towards Confucius has anything in common with historical materialism.

² See the important correspondence between Adorno and Benjamin, printed below, a 110-141

Presentation II

Ś

contact with a German audience of any kind (Mother Courage: 1939 audiences were certainly in the main proletarian, but since alternative commercial theatres. His fuller conversion to Marxism post-dated them structure of Brecht's dramaturgy was always potentially lucid and comentertainments (to use a Brechtian term) were not widely available in Galileo Galilei: 1939; Puntila: 1941; The Cancasian Chalk Circle: 1944-5). His greatest plays were then written during exile and war without any The Threepenny Opera - enjoyed a large bourgeois audience, in ordinary a new avatar of 'high' art, was actually to provoke Brecht to plan a play doubted. The magnitude of this achievement is suggested by its very prehensible to the spectators for whom it was designed, cannot be Berliner Ensemble remain difficult to estimate. But that the overall the DDR, the spontaneity and reality of working-class responses to the When they were finally staged in East Germany after the War, their synthesis, already evidenced in this episode shortly before his death, has deliberately intended as an antidote to Godot. The fragility of Brecht's in the West, the ascent of Beckett (critically consecrated by Adorno) as writers, no comparable work was produced anywhere in Europe; while isolation. After the Second World War, despite a plethora of socialist ambitious revolutionary artist of the last decade, when it attempted a only been confirmed by aesthetic developments since. The collapse of antinomies of cultural innovation in the imperialist world. political turn and ascesis not unlike that effected by Brecht's theatre in the cinema of Jean-Luc Godard, in many ways the most brilliant and the thirties, is the most recent and eloquent testimony to the implacable

but ultimately Adorno's criticisms of Benjamin and Lukács's of Brechl ambience of the Institute of Social Research linked Benjamin to Adorno. common space between Lukács and Brecht, as the more impalpable organizational co-ordinates of the Communist movement created a institutional pressures, but was never reducible to the latter.8 The were made to the two men, which engaged the whole direction of their of concern to the work at which they were directed. It is noticeable that acquired their force because of their degree of cogency and proximity work. In both cases, the ideological interpellation was not exempt from York, respectively. From each of these capitals, theoretical challenges practice in the 20th century. Consonant with Brecht's desire to broaden of the 19th century, and the present aims and conditions of aesthetic between the two. Against this history, Brecht's art retrospectively controversy on the left ever since, where the contradiction between meters; it was concerned with the relations between 'avant-garde' and Benjamin and Adorno over modern cultural practice had different parabe within a framework of declared political militancy, the dispute between issues involved opposed conceptions of what socialist works of art should time, whereas the clash between Lukács and Brecht over contemporary Adorno-Benjamin exchange was the poetry of Baudelaire. At the same Marxist literary theory beyond the novel, the prime object of the 'Eastern' counterpart: a dispute over both the art of the historical past the 'Western' debate reproduced the same dual problematic as its body of art produced after the Russian Revolution to acquires a unique relief. For his theatre represents perhaps the only major intractability of this problem has made it a central focus of aesthetic 'commercial' art under the dominion of capital. The continuity and scrutiny. Brecht's biggest successes in the Weimar period - above all, assertion that his own plays found a vital resonance within the German misingly advanced in form, yet intransigently popular in intention. The 'high' and 'low' genres – the one subjectively progressive and objectively working-class itself. The extent of the validity of this claim needs some most important of Brecht's claims in his polemic with Lukács was his been durably overcome, despite a complex, crippled dialectic the other objectively popular and subjectively regressive - has be uncompro-

agam, by his successors

example marks a frontier that has not been passed, or even reached

6 The conneidence of dates is striking. Brecht's remarks to Benjamin about the implications of Lukáes's criticisms, cited above, were made in July 1938. Benjamin received the fateful comments from Adorno on his Baudelaire study on his return to Paris a few months later, in November, However, it should always be remembered that, although potential sanctions lay in the background of their interventions, neither Adorno nor Lukáes were themselves at all secure in their own contrasted asylums.

9

Bertolt Brecht

Against Georg Lukács

,...

[The Essays of Georg Lukács]

courteous he is in his treatment of contemporary novelists, in so far as occupied when the bourgeoisic was still a progressive class. However I have occasionally wondered why certain essays by Georg Lukács. curious technicality - a kind of tyranny if you like. A formalistic quality plenty of technical skill; it is merely that technique has acquired a inevitably testify, too, to a decay in the technique of the novel. There is how could they be expected to rise above their class in this respect? They equal to that of the classical novelists in depth, breadth and attack. But them too a process of decline. He is quite unable to find in them a realism write in at least a formally realistic manner, he cannot help seeing in they follow the example of the classic models of the bourgeois novel and He investigates the decline of the bourgeois novel from the heights it and yet one cannot help feeling that he is somewhat remote from reality something unsatisfying about them. He starts from a sound principle although they contain so much valuable material, nevertheless have insinuates itself even into realistic types of construction on the classical

Some of the details here are curious. Even those writers who are conscious of the fact that capitalism impoverishes, dehumanizes, mechanizes human beings, and who fight against it, seem to be part of the same process of impoverishment: for they too, in their writing, appear to be less concerned with elevating man, they rush him through events, treat his inner life as a quantité negligeable and so on. They too rationalize, as it were. They fall into line with the 'progress' of physics. They abandon strict causality and switch to statistical causality, by aban-

doning the individual man as a causal nexus and making statements only about large groups. They even – in their own way – adopt Schrödinger's uncertainty principle. They deprive the observer of his authority and credit and mobilize the reader against himself, advancing purely subjective propositions, which actually characterize only those who make them (Gide, Joyce, Döblin). One can follow Lukács in all these observations and subscribe to his protests.

spirit, hold back the pace of events by a slow narrative, bring the indiviis obvious. No one who believes Lukács's basic principle to be correct. weakened? Is the connection between things no longer so visible? man? Has his spiritual life descendants to emulate them. Are writers confronted by a dehumanized makes his work, which otherwise contains so much of value, unsatiselement of capitulation, of withdrawal, of utopian idealism which still men as before. This is the path that literature must take in outrage when of the masses but by stepping back into them. The masses shed their but developing them. Man does not become man again by stepping out old days but to the bad new ones. It does not involve undoing techniques ascendant class shows it. It is not a way back. It is not linked to the good can be surprised at this. Is there no solution then? There is. The new dwindle into an indistinct murmur. That his proposals are impracticable dual back to the centre of the stage, and so on. Here specific instructions Writers just have to keep to the Old Masters, produce a rich life of the existence at an intolerable pace? Have his logical capacities been technique. He turns back to our forefathers and implores their degenerate Lukács's conception. With a wave of his hand he sweeps away 'inhuman rather than struggle, a way of escape rather than an advance factory; for it gives the impression that what concerns him is enjoymen lurks in Lukács's essays and which he will undoubtedly overcome, that dehumanization produced by capitalism in its fascist phase. It is the valuable and human, when they are mobilizing people against the the masses are beginning to attract to themselves everything that is dehumanization and thereby men become men again - but not the same But then we come to the positive and constructive postulates of been devastated? Is he driven through

Affred Döblin (1878-1957): German novelist and exponent both of Expressionism and New Sachlichteit (Neo-Objectivity). His major work was Berlin Alexanderplatz (1929), written under the influence of Joyce and Dos Passos.

4

On the Formalistic Character of the Theory of Realism

The formalistic nature of the theory of realism is demonstrated by the fact that not only is it exclusively based on the form of a few bourgeois novels of the previous century (more recent novels are merely cited in so far as they exemplify the same form), but also exclusively on the particular genre of the novel. But what about realism in lyric poetry, or in drama? These are two literary genres which – specially in Germany – have achieved a high standard.

say that I am unable to get the smallest tip from them for my work on research in the field of Roman history. It is satirical. Now the novel is me. At the present time I am working on two novels, a play and a collecour theorists of realism believe. They give a totally one-sided picture of my argument. My activity is, as I see it myself, much more diverse than this novel: The Business Affairs of Herr Julius Caesar. The procedure. the chosen sphere of our theorists. But I am not being malicious if I tion of poems. One of the novels is historical and requires extensive necessary for me to change the point of view for other sections. The is of no use to me. For large sections I use the diary form. It has proved manner of personal conflicts in long, expensive drawing-room scenes. taken over by 19th century novelists from the drama, of massing all is a cycle of scenes which deals with life under the Nazis. So far I have technique has proved to be necessary for a firm grasp of reality, and I proved necessary. Somehow it does not fit the intended pattern. But this my point of view. I suppose that this sort of thing ought not to have montage of the points of view of the two fictitious authors incorporates written 27 separate scenes. Some of them fit roughly into the 'realistic' had purely realistic motives in adopting it. My play, on the other hand, it to be a realistic play. I learnt more for it from the paintings of the they are very short. The whole work doesn't fit into it at all. I consider pattern X, if one shuts one eye. Others don't - absurdly enough, because peasant Breughel than from treatises on realism. I shall continue in a personal vein so as to provide concrete material for

I scarcely dare to speak about the second novel, on which I have been working for a long time, so complicated are the problems involved and so primitive is the vocabulary which the aesthetic of realism – in its

present state – offers me. The formal difficulties are enormous; I have constantly to construct models. Anyone who saw me at work would think I was only interested in questions of form. I make these models because I wish to represent reality. As far as my lyric poetry goes, there too I take a realistic point of view. But I feel that one would have to proceed with extreme caution if one wished to write about it. On the other hand, there would be a great deal to be learnt about realism in the novel and drama.

Brecht against Lukács

2

out meaning, think of desirable groupings of unnamed figures, and so on seasons of the year; I hear inflections without words, see gestures with notions of colours at the back of my mind, impressions of particular rubbing the sand from my eyes the whole time, so to speak, I have vague running through it! The City puts its democrat Cicero into the consulate crystal-clear chapter with an irregular curve, a kind of red wave-form I think: 'If one could only write a very long, transparent, autumnal slowly dawns on me and I experience a certain pleasure in the discovery at work. As the significance of Clodius's Funeral-Benefit Associations The images are extremely undefined, in no way exciting, rather superlanguages) and attempting, full of scepticism, to verify a particular fact written in four languages, in addition to translations from two ancient ficial, or so it seems to me. But they are there. The 'formalist' in me is cannot give any guarantees' (Caesas). Where were the street clubs on 9 November 91 BC? 'Gentlemen, costs millions; how many? Let us look it up - no - it's not relevant here Cicero is driven from the city; he has losses; his villa is burnt down; it form; sometimes weapons suddenly appear in these Associations if you are too long in dying, it is a bad bargain. But we have the wave-An unemployed man's funeral costs ten dollars; you pay a subscription Funeral-Benefit Associations; the leaves are golden in the autumn he bans the armed democratic street clubs; they turn into peacefu While I am looking through a stack of historical tomes (they are

I am at an early stage of my work.

Since the artist is constantly occupied with formal matters, since he constantly forms, one must define what one means by formalism carefully and practically, otherwise one conveys nothing to the artist. If one wants to call everything that makes works of art unrealistic formalism, then - if there is to be any mutual understanding - one must not construct the concept of formalism in purely aesthetic terms. Formalism on the one side - contentism on the other. That is surely too primitive and metaphysical. Looked at purely in terms of aesthetics, the concept

 \approx

presents no special difficulties. For instance if someone makes a statement which is untrue – or irrelevant – merely because it rhymes, then he is a formalist. But we have innumerable works of an unrealistic kind which did not become so because they were based on an excessive sense of form.

We can remain entirely comprehensible and yet give the concept a further, more productive, more practical meaning. We have only to look aside from literature for a moment and descend into 'everythay life'. What is formalism there? Let us take the expression: Formally he is right. That means that actually he is not right, but he is right according to the form of things and only according to this form. Or: Formally the task is solved means that actually it is not solved. Or: I did it to preserve the form. That means that what I did is not very important; I do what I want to do, but I preserve outward forms and in this way I can best do what I want. When I read that the autarky of the Third Reich is perfect on paper, then I know that this is a case of political formalism. National Socialism is socialism in form – another case of political formalism.

If we define the concept in this way, it becomes both comprehensible and important. We are then in a position, if we return to literature (without this time abandoning everyday life altogether), to characterize and unmask as formalistic even works which do not elevate literary form over social content and yet do not correspond to reality. We can even unmask works which are realistic in form. There are a great many of them.

By giving the concept of formalism this meaning, we acquire a yard-stick for dealing with such phenomena as the arant-garde. For a vanguard can lead the way along a retreat or into an abyss. It can march so far ahead that the main army cannot follow it, because it is lost from sight and so on. Thus its unrealistic character can become evident. If it splits off from the main body, we can determine why and by what means it can reunite with it. Naturalism and a certain type of anarchistic mantage can be confronted with their social effects, by demonstrating that they merely reflect the symptoms of the surface of things and not seem, judging by their form, to be radical, can be shown to be purely reformist, merely formal efforts which supply solutions on paper.

Such a definition of formalism also helps the writing of novels, lyric poetry and drama, and – last but not least – it does away once and for all with a certain formalistic style of criticism which appears to be interested

only in the formal, which is dedicated to particular forms of writing, confined to one period, and attempts to solve problems of literary creation, even when it 'builds in' occasional glances at the historical past, in purely literary terms.

prized very highly. I am thinking of Tucholsky? gained the impression that if Joyce had only set his monologue in a problem that could be solved by the slogan 'Back to 'Folstoy'. In purely we should take heed - a falsification of reality. This is not a mere forma reality, that is to say the totality of thought or association, as it super-(again of a technical sort) the interior monologue by no nieans reproduces and it is very useful to stress this fact. Without very precise measures Now the interior monologue is a method which is very difficult to use session with a psycho-analyst, everything would have been all right rejected; it was said to be formulistic. I have never understood the reason bourgeois. As a technical method the interior monologue was equally selves with this nonsense, adding in their revulsion the epithet of pettyimmorality and so on. Astonishingly, some Marxists associated themmorbid pleasure in filth, overestimation of events below the navel, written but for Freud. The attacks which it drew upon its author were crossing, flowing into each other. This chapter could hardly have been various styles of writing and other unusual features - the so-called formal terms we did once have an interior monologue, which we actuall ficially appears to do. It becomes another case of *only formally*, of which Joyce's method. The criticisms were so superficially formulated that one The fact that Tolstoy would have done it differently is no reason to reject the same as Freud in his day suffered. They rained down: pornography, ing and meditates. Her thoughts are reproduced disconnectedly, crissinterior monologue. A perty-bourgeois woman lies in bed in the morn-In Joyce's great satirical novel, Ulysses, there is - besides the use of

For many people to recall expressionism is to be reminded of a creed of libertarian sentiments. I myself was also at that time against 'self-expression' as a vocation. (See the instructions for actors in my *Versuche.*) I was sceptical of those painful, disturbing accidents in which someone was found to be 'beside himself'. What does this position feel like? It was very soon evident that such people had merely freed themselves from grammar, not from capitalism. Hašek won the highest honours for *Schweik.* But I believe that acts of liberation should also always be taken seriously. Teday many people are still refuctant to see wholesale assaults

² Kurt Tucholsky (1890–1938): radical publicist and novelist of the Weimar period, and ditor of Die Welthöhne.

on expressionism because they are affaid that acts of liberation are being suppressed for their own sake — self-liberation from constricting rules, old regulations which have become fetters; that the aim of such attacks is to preserve methods of description which suited land-owners even after land-owners themselves have been swept aside. To take an example from politics; if you want to counter putsches, you must teach revolution, not evolution.

Literature, to be understood, must be considered in its development, by which I do not mean self-development. Experimental phases can then be noted, in which an often almost unbearable narrowing of perspective occurs, one-sided or rather few-sided products emerge, and the applicability of results becomes problematic. There are experiments which come to nothing and experiments which bear late fruits or paltry fruits. One sees artists who sank under the burden of their materials—conscientious people who see the magnitude of the task, do not shirk it, but are inadequate for it. They do not always perceive their own errors; sometimes others see the errors at the same time as the problems. Some of them become wholly absorbed in specific questions—but not all of these are busy trying to square the circle. The world has reason to be impatient with these people and it makes abundant use of this right. But it also has reason to show patience towards them.

In art there is the fact of failure, and the fact of partial success. Our metaphysicians must understand this. Works of art can fail so easily; it is so difficult for them to succeed. One man will fall silent because of lack of feeling; another, because his emotion chokes him. A third freeshimself, not from the burden that weighs on him, but only from a feeling of unfreedom. A fourth breaks his tools because they have too long been used to exploit him. The world is not obliged to be sentimental. Deleats should be acknowledged; but one should not conclude from them that there should be no more struggles.

For me, expressionism is not merely an 'embarrassing business', not merely a deviation. Why? Because I do not by any means consider it to be merely a 'phenomenon' and stick a label on it. Realists who are willing to learn and look for the practical side of things could learn a great deal from it. For them, there was a lode to be exploited in Kaiser, Sternberg, Toller and Goering.³ Frankly I myself learn more easily where problems similar to my own are tackled. Not to beat about the bush, I learn with more difficulty (less) from Tolstoy and Balzac. They had to master

other problems. Besides – if I may be allowed to use the expression – much of them has become part of my flesh and blood. Naturally I admire these people and the way in which they dealt with their tasks. One can learn from them too. But it is advisable not to approach them singly, but alongside other authors with other tasks, such as Swiff and Voltaire. The diversity of aims then becomes clear, and we can more easily make the necessary abstractions and approach them from the standpoint of our own problems.

Brecht against Lukács

3

quality is beyond dispute, such as the interruption of the action by choruses in the Attic theatre. The Chinese theatre contains similar forms vation. But if one wishes, one can mention earlier models whose artistic another and giving it an artistic form. Such a solution seemed an innoplot could be shaped artistically and the editorial too (it then naturally plot or the plot in the editorial, lending the latter artistic form. But the were two possible solutions. The editorial could be dissolved in the artistic than editorials.) There was a complete rift. In practice there bedded, was overlooked. (Plots were in any case regarded as fitted into the plot. The 'editorial' was usually 'inartistically' conceived lost its editorial quality), while keeping the jump from one idiom to failed to shape the whole structure, the message was mechanically happened in a very practical way. Political and philosophical considerations from one kind of style to another within the same work of art. This the effect of making one particular problem very actual so patently that the inartistic nature of the plot in which it was em-The questions confronting our politically engaged literature have had the jump more

The issue of how many allusions one needs in descriptions, of what is too plastic and what not plastic enough, can be dealt with practically from case to case. In certain works we can manage with fewer allusions than our ancestors. So far as psychology is concerned, the questions as to whether the results of newly established sciences should be employed, is not a matter of faith. It is in individual cases that one has to test whether the delineation of a character is improved by incorporating scientific insights or not, and whether the particular way in which they are utilized is good or not. Literature cannot be forbidden to employ skills newly acquired by contemporary man, such as the capacity for simultaneous registration, bold abstraction, or swift combination. If a scientific approach is to be involved, it is the tireless energy of science that is needed to investigate in each individual case how the artistic adoption of these skills has worked out. Artists like to take short cuts, to conjure things out of the air, to work their way through large sections

J Georg Kaiser, Leo Sternberg, Ernst Toller and Reinhard Goering were all expressionis playwrights and authors of the immediate post-World War One period.

13/

77

datel) Realism is an issue not only for literature: it is a major political, ease, in short scientifically. Loose talk is of no help here, whatever its such - as a marter of general human interest. philosophical and practical issue and must be handled and explained as vocabulary. In no circumstances can the necessary guide-lines for a of a continuous process more or less consciously. Criticism, at like Tolstoy - but without his weaknesses! Be like Balzae - only up-topractical definition of realism be derived from literary works alone, (Be Marxist criticism, must proceed methodically and concretely in each

[Remarks on an Essay

in an extremely arbitrary sense. people, have a habit of spell-binding our minds with certain words used among us, whom Stalin in another context distinguishes from creative quote the classics (of Marxism) and that the word 'form' occurs there kind and still does so - namely technology. The 'right thinking' people there is a kind of mechanics that has performed great services for manword 'mechanical' need frighten no one, as long as it refers to technique too; the classics did not teach the technique of writing novels. The 'mechanical'. One must not pay too much attention to the fact that they with content, whatever, or who are suspicious of 'technique' as something too fluently as signifying something other than content, or as connected One must not expect too much from people who use the word 'form'

with which they set their plots in motion.) I suspect it will depend on be in a position to oblige them to do so, however ingenious the methods to preserve the memory of these figures? (Balzac and Tolstoy will scarcely of endurance. How can we foresee whether future generations will wish not know whether it will 'endure'; nor do I know whether a figure created motion. Yet his Schweik is certainly a figure who is hard to forget. I do 'complicated' (!) methods with which old authors set their plots in struggle', without 'the testing of human beings in real action', without figures can be created without 'reciprocal human relationships in whether it will be a socially relevant statement if someone says: 'That To be frank, I do not set such an excessively high value on the concept by Tolstoy or Balzac will endure; I know no more than the next man. 'close interaction between men in struggle'. But where in Hasek are the Those who administer our cultural heritage decree that no enduring

> web of contorted relationships of a type which will by then no longer Perhaps such characters will not survive at all? Perhaps they arose in a (and 'that' will refer to a contemporary) 'is a Père Goriot character.'

of human beings in the age of the final struggle between the bourgeois and the proletarian class, is reduced to a 'plot', setting, or background his problems so much that the immense, complicated, actual life-process is to say, it leads nowhere, it is not worth the writer's while, to simplify way those figures among Soviet youth, whom I have seen myself, could much more space in books and above all not a different kind of space for the creation of great individuals. Individuals should not occupy enduring figure, if - as certainly seemed possible - this was the only on the point of discarding Nekhlyudov (whoever he may be) as an discovering any individuals among Soviet youth.5 Reading Gide, 1 was particular, passing) cult has prevented a man like André Gide from But we nevertheless notice with a certain pensiveness how this (historical, historical bases. We are far from wishing to do away with the individual but durable characters from Antigone to Nana and from Aeneas to of literature, a kind of Madame Tussaud's panopticon, filled with nothing century. We must not conjure up a kind of Valhalla of the enduring figures the cult of the individual, as practised in class society, rested. They are laughing at such an idea. We know something about the bases on which Nekhlyudov (who is he, by the way?).4 I see nothing disrespectful in 'characters', let alone with characters of the type that existed in the 19th Balzac's plots. Secondly, the novel certainly does not stand or fall by its he depicts are not the kind Tolstoy created, or his complexities those of interactions between human beings in struggle', even if the struggles elsewhere in this novel). But I should not like to allow this technique to struggle'. (Whatever elements of the montage technique I used, lay First of all, Dos Passos himself has given an excellent portrayal of 'close be condemned purely in favour of the creation of durable characters. against wind or tide. When I wrote a novel I myself tried to create some-I have no reason to advocate the montage technique used by Dos Passos, To come back to our basic question: it is absolutely false, that the nature of 'close interactions between human beings in

^{*}Nekhlyudov: liberal aristocrar who is the central figure of Tolstoy's novel Resurrection

⁵ Reference to Gide's Retour de l'URSS, which had been translated into German the

combination in one person of the lofty and the base, of criminality and

than in reality. To talk in purely practical terms; for us, individuals emerge from a depiction of the processes of human co-existence and they can be 'big' or 'small'. It is absolutely false to say that one should take a great figure and allow it to respond in manifold ways, making its relationships with other figures as significant and lasting as possible. The drama (force of collision), the passion (degree of heat), the range

and portrayed or propagated apart from it. Those close interactions of the characters - none of this can be separated from social functions, shapes different individuals. Then there is the further question whether way. Socialist emulation produces individuals in a different way and developing capitalism, which produced individuals in a quite particular between human beings in struggle are the competitive struggles of reflects the dialectic of the progress of production as the progress of it is as individuating a process as the competitive struggle of capitalism. and thousands of pages. Admittedly we are supposed to avoid such a we are advised to go on creating individuals, to recreate them, or rather society'. This was precisely what determined their individuality. Now against groups of individuals; basically they fought against 'the whole of forest of early capitalism individuals fought against individuals, and So in his case poetry in its turn became a business. In the primeval speculation . . . suspended payments and wrote novels to pay his debts.' first of all a businessman, indeed a businessman in debt . . . he took to misery. 'With him business became poetical' (Taine) but: 'Balzac was heroes (the breadth of their sunlik side, the depth of their shadowy side) addressed to individuals: 'Enrich yourselves'. In a certain sense, we hear from our critics the fateful slogan, once mania.' We find this fetishism of objects in his novels, too, on hundreds way. So? 'Balzac's passion for collecting things bordered on monoto create new ones, who will naturally be different but made in the same which constitute the individual. Does the production of consumer goods to see in them a simple exchange of the social passions and functions thing. Lukács wags his finger at Tretyakov on this account. But this Gide today does not do so). They lack the element of monstrosity, the individuals that Balzac would not have recognized them as such (and take place and there are individuals. But they are such very different Naturally one can answer 'yes' here too. This process of production does fetishism is what makes Balzac's characters individuals. It is ridiculous for a collective today construct individuals in the same way as 'collecting'? Balzac is the poet of monstrosities. The multiplex character of his

Brecht against Lukács

8

sanctity, and so on.

example in contemporary New York, not to speak of Moscow, woman is undoubtedly shape individuals today are precisely - compared to to have ceased to shape individuals? But these new institutions which ownership of the means of production, the family is generally supposed or the factory or the soviet - given that, with the abolition of private the individuals 'grow'. Should we therefore be reconstructing such cells. not in Balzac's time individuals. But allies play an immense part in them, such as they could they have no individual characteristics, for they are fought out by their place) are just as herce but perhaps less individualistic. Not that cease; other struggles which take their place (naturally others do take So far this is quite simple. Certain struggles 'to a fever-pitch' therefore less 'formed' by man than in Balzac's Faris; she is less dependent on him. the family - the products of montage, quite literally 'assembled'. For deals with nothing but the 'organic'; his families are organisms in which generations of families and their transference from one to the other. He and brothers; he follows possessions (fetishism of objects) through he marries off the creatures of his fantasy as Napoleon did his marshab No, Balzac does not includge in montage. But he writes vast genealogies

W

Popularity and Realism

Whoever looks for slogans to apply to contemporary German literature, must bear in mind that anything that aspires to be called literature is printed exclusively abroad and can almost exclusively be read only abroad. The term popular as applied to literature thus acquires a curious connotation. The writer in this case is supposed to write for a people among whom he does not live. Yet if one considers the matter more closely, the gap between the writer and the people is not as great as one might think. Today it is not quite as great as it seems, and formerly it was not as small as it seemed. The prevailing aesthetic, the price of books and the police have always ensured that there is a considerable distance between writer and people. Nevertheless it would be wrong, that is to say unrealistic, to view the widening of this distance as a purely 'external' one. Undoubtedly special efforts have to be made today in order to be able to write in a popular style. On the other hand, it has become easier; easier and more urgent. The people have split away