the fact that Kafka, whom he considers to be a great writer, is, like Kleist does he belong? I know that the question cannot be answered. And it is this point I raised the question of Kafka. To which of the two groups He was terrified by the thought of the empire of ants; the thought of are clearer to me) the prophetic aspect of Kafka's work. Kafka had one as a visionary, says Brecht, saw what was coming without seeing what is Grabbe or Büchner, a failure. Kafka's starting point is really the parable, between two literary types: the visionary artist, who is in earnest, and mare. Brecht says of Kafka's precision that it is the precision of an the GPU. But he never found a solution and never awoke from his nightmen being alienated from themselves by the forms of their life in society. problem and one only, he says, and that was the problem of organization. He emphasizes once more (as earlier at Le Lavandou, but in terms which parabolic element is in conflict with the visionary element. But Kafka Karamazev where the holy starets begins to stink. In Kafka, then, the Dostoyevsky's Grand Inquisitor or that other episode in The Brothers that Kafka would not have found his own special form without was never altogether transparent. I should add that Brecht is convinced closely, you see that it contained the germ of a novel from the start. It wording is concerned, cannot be entirely in earnest. But then this parable which is governed by reason and which, therefore, so far as its actual precisely its unanswerability which Brecht regards as an indication of the cool-headed thinking man, who is not completely in carnest. At imprecise man, a dreamer. And he anticipated certain forms of this alienation, e.g. the methods of has, all the same, to be given form. It grows into a novel. And if you look

12 July. Yesterday, after playing chess, Brecht said: 'You know, when Korsch comes, we really ought to work out a new game with him. A game in which the moves do not always stay the same; where the function of a piece changes after it has stood on the same square for a while: it should either become stronger or weaker. As it is the game doesn't develop, it stays the same for too long.'

23 July. Yesterday a visit from Karin Michaelis, who has just returned from her trip to Russia and is full of enthusiasm. Brecht remembers how he was taken round Moscow by Tretyakov. Tretyakov showed him the city and was proud of everything, no matter what it was. 'That isn't a bad thing,' says Brecht, 'it shows that the place belongs to him. One isn't proud of other people's property.' After a while he added: 'Yes, but in the end I got a bit tired of it. I couldn't admire everything, nor

did I want to. The point is, they were his soldiers, his lorries. But not alas, nunc.

wooden donkey which can nod its head. Brecht has hung a little sign round its neck on which he has written: 'Even I must understand it.'

7. Abanet. These weeks area I grave B. my secon on Kaffa. I'm steep has

painted the words: 'Truth is concrete.' On a window-sill stands a small

24 July. On a beam which supports the ceiling of Brecht's study are

could just as well coin the term 'Aryan boy' - a sorry, dismal creature, with dread?' + 'Yes.' - 'And so now you're looking for a leader you can and economic forms within which you live?' - 'Yes.' - 'You can't find could bring these out. One might imagine a conversation between Lao more. Yet there were also some very interesting sides to him. One a mere bubble on the glittering quagmire of Prague cultural life, nothing value, but also his feebleness in many respects. He was a Jew-boy - one nesses were bound up with this way of seeing the world - his artistic was the principal reality, if not the only one. Kafka's strengths and weakmilieu of journalists, of self-important literati; in that world, literature cular. It would then transpire that Kafka lived in Prague, in an unhealthy Kafka? The correct way would be to ask: what does he do? how does he essence. Now what would be the correct way of tackling the problem of man, too: it detached the work from all connections, even with its author of view - the work as something that had grown separately, by itself - the essay, for instance. It treated Kafka purely from the phenomenal point pletely acquitted of a diaristic style of writing á la Nietzsche. My Kafka end I took the manuscript away again without saying a word. Last night when I steered the conversation round to it, he replied evasively. In the read it, but he never alluded to it of his own accord, and on two occasions 5 August. Three weeks ago I gave B. my essay on Kafka. I'm sure he Brecht, 'I don't accept Kafka, you know.' He went on to speak about a hold on to, Disciple Kafka.' 'Of course such an attitude won't do,' says your way about them any more?' - 'No.' - 'A share certificate fills you you have conceived a horror of the organizations, property relations behave? And, at the start, to consider the general rather than the parti-In the end everything I wrote always came down to the question of took the form of a remark to the effect that I, too, could not be comhe suddenly began speaking of this essay. The rather abrupt transition wood there are many different kinds of tree-trunk. From the thickest Chinese philosopher's parable of 'the tribulations of usefulness'. In a Tzu and his disciple Kafka. Lao Tzu says: 'And so, Disciple Kafka.

Benjamin with Brecht 91

o'clock and time to listen to the news from Vienna. it more closely,' he said. Then the conversation broke off, as it was ten could he get anywhere nearer to defining its value. 'One ought to study rejected Eisler's view that this very short story is 'worthless', but neither and immediately saw that this suggestion worried B. He resolutely this I touched upon in my essay. B.'s approach should, I said, be checked that the important thing about Kafka is something else, and some of against interpretations of specific works. I suggested The Next Village, of rubbish and waste, a lot of pure mystification. But I can't help thinking completed my exploration of this area. I am aware that it contains a lot the study of the frontier area defined by Kraus and, in another way, by charge that it has landed me in a diaristic style of notation. It is true that antipodes. In my essay on Kraus I actually got there. I know that the cussion I told B. that penetrating into depth is my way of travelling to the Kafka preoccupies me a great deal. In Kafka's case I haven't yet, I said, one on Kafka doesn't come off to the same degree: I can't dismiss the and there's nothing whatsoever to be seen in it.' To conclude the disget you anywhere at all. Depth is a separate dimension, it's just depth -Kafka's writings as you might in such a wood. Then you'll find a whole pure mystification. It's nonsense. You have to ignore it. Depth doesn't lot of very useful things. The images are good, of course, But the rest is escape the tribulations of usefulness. 'You've got to look around in whipping-rods; but of the stunted ones they make nothing at all: these sturdy, they make boxes and coffin-fids; the thinnest of all are made into they make ship's timbers; from those which are less thick but still quite

Algust. The night before last a long and heated debate about my Kafka. Its foundation: the charge that it promotes Jewish fascism. It increases and spreads the darkness surrounding Kafka instead of dispersing it. Yet it is necessary to clarify Kafka, that is to say, to formulate the practicable suggestions which can be extracted from his stories. It is to be supposed that such suggestions can be extracted from them, if only because of their tone of superior calm. But these suggestions should be sought in the direction of the great general evils which assail humanity today. Brecht looks for the reflexion of these evils in Kafka's work. He confines himself, in the main, to The Trial. What it conveys above all else, he thinks, is a dread of the unending and irresistible growth of great cities. He claims to know the nightmare of this idea from his own intimate experience. Such cities are an expression of the boundless maze of indirect relationships, complex mutual dependencies and compart-

at the Gestapo you can see what the Cheka may become.' Kafka's outan insurance agent. Incidentally, his unlimited pessimism is free from able. It is a Kafkaesque irony that the man who appears to be convinced of his situation is such that the safeguards he demands must be unreasonwith questions. He asks for safeguards for his situation. But the nature sible for all his ills. Brecht calls The Trial a prophetic book. By looking to set out. Those for whom life has become transformed into writing – like ness. Let life be as short as it may. That does not matter, for the one word 'one'. For if the journey is broken down into its parts, then the office. From time to time our conversation centred on the story The any tragic sense of destiny. For not only is his expectation of misfortune of nothing so much as of the frailty of all safeguards should have been of this outlook: Brecht interprets the caretaker as personifying the measure of life is memory. Looking back, it traverses the whole of life but another. - I for my part offer the following interpretation: the true who arrives in the next village is not the one who set out on the journey. traveller is too. And if the unity of life is destroyed, then so is its short-Then a whole life is too short for the journey. But the fallacy lies in the Next Village. Brecht says it is a counterpart to the story of Achilles and takings - a visit from a travelling salesman, an inquiry at a government founded on nothing but empiricism (although it must be said that this he is wise. Where the fascist brings heroism into play, Kafka responds indomitable iron will against this situation, Kafka hardly opposes it; bourgeois current today - that is, the fascist - has decided to set his in the neck. His situation is Kafka's own. But whereas the type of petty worries of a father of a family. The petty bourgeois is bound to get it look is that of a man caught under the wheels. Odradek is characteristic where everyone can pass the buck to someone else, he can make responliving. And these in turn find expression in the longing for a 'leader'. mentations into which human beings are forced by modern forms of by fleeing from the present – can they understand life. That is the only way in which they confront themselves, and only thus the grandfather in the story - can only read the writing backwards from the next village to the place where the traveller took the decision like lightning. As fast as one can turn back a few pages, it has travelled down into its smallest parts, not counting the incidental occurrences. the tortoise. One never gets to the next village if one breaks the journey the criterion of final success in the most insignificant and trivial underfoundation is unshakable), but also, with incorrigible naivety, he seeks The petty bourgeois sees the leader as the only man whom, in a world

elements of a work cancel each other out. It is precisely his efforts which artistic mastery is proved by the fact that, in the end, all the artistic art ws-u-rus reason have again and again referred him to the parable in ally, make art refractory to reason. Brecht's heroic efforts to legitimize element in art, and above all those elements which, partially and occasionstand them. The doubts at a deeper level concern the artistic and playful attitude as such. But to confuse these doubts, which are mostly of a practical nature, with other, more profound ones would be to misubilercerned with the problems and methods of the proletarian class struggle. two distinct strands of thought. Whilst becoming more closely con-Novel, the public would accept such a work. This doubt is made up of to date and especially of its satirical elements, particularly the Threepenny matter. He wonders, in the first instance, whether, in view of his output idea of a philosophical didactic poem. But he has doubts about the been subsumed, now under one key concept, now under another, so same interests, have become combined with the study of Leninism and concerning epic theatre, other thoughts, although originating in the he has increasingly doubted the satirical, and especially the ironic, occupations. At present these various pursuits are converging upon the and the critique of ideas have, in turn, stood at the centre of his preoutgrown that rather limited framework. For several years past they have also of the scientific tendencies of the empiricists, and have therefore and ideas. Whereas he was able, at a pinch, to set down in his notes and that non-Aristotelian logic, behaviourist theory, the new encyclopedia introductions to the Versuche the thoughts which occurred to him he is also preoccupied with other plans, dating back to very old studies tuals); it seems that it will be set, in part at least, in China. A small scale model of this work is already completed. But besides these prose works be an encyclopedic survey of the follies of the Tellectual-Ins (intellec-Renaissance biographers - and the long one of the Thi novel. This is to be done: the shorter one of the Ui - a satire on Hitler in the style of the possibilities. On the one hand there are some prose projects waiting to plans reach out to the period beyond exile. There, he is faced with two all the more radically to admit it as such in his own particular case. His admits that exile can be a proper basis for plans and projects, he refuses that of most other refugees. Therefore, since in general he scarcely making any definite plans. As he is the first to point out, the main reason spoke of the curious indecision which at the moment prevents him from for this indecision is that his situation is so much more privileged than 27 September, Dragor. In a conversation a few evenings ago Brecht

connected with this parable, which are at present becoming visible in a radical form in his conception of the didactic poem. In the course of the conversation I tried to explain to Brecht that such a poem would not have to seek approval from a bourgeois public but from a proletarian one, which, presumably, would find its criteria less in Brecht's earlier, partly bourgeois-oriented work than in the dogmatic and theoretical content of the didactic poem itself. If this didactic poem succeeds in enlisting the authority of Marxism on its behalf, I told bim, 'then your earlier work is not likely to weaken that authority.'

or is said by him to lack, an enlightening character. He calls such a authors. It became evident that Dostoyevsky simply could not measure about my reading matter, and as he hunself was reading Schneyk at the that Chopin and Dostoyevsky have a particularly adverse effect on people's on the piano and he had not had the strength to protest. Brecht thinks long time) had begun when a schoolfellow had played Chopin to him in his youth, a prolonged illness (which had doubtless been latent for a this choice of reading for my being unwell. As confirmation he told how, reading Dostoyevsky's Crime and Panishment. To start with he blamed is fond of using the term Wirrachen (little sausage). In Dragor I was special vocabulary engendered by this aggressiveness. In particular, he pronounced in conversation than it used to be. Indeed, I am struck by a is now generally more this way inclined than before, at all events his * certainer. Testerday Breecht left for London. Whether it is that my presence offers peculiar temptations in this respect, or whether Brecht 4 October, Vesterday Brecht left for London. Whether it is that up to Hasek, and Brecht included him without further ado among the time, he insisted on making comparative value judgements of the two health. In other ways, too, he missed no opportunity of needling me aggressiveness (which be himself calls 'baiting') is now much more work a Klump (lump, or clot). the description he keeps on hand, these days, for any work which lacks, Würstehen; only a little more and he would have extended to Dostoyevsky

1938

28 June. I was in a labyrinth of stairs. This labyrinth was not entirely roofed over. I climbed; other stairways led downwards. On a landing I realized that I had arrived at a summit. A wide view of many lands opened up before me. I saw other men standing on other peaks. One of these men was suddenly seized by dizziness and fell. The dizziness spread; others were now falling from other peaks into the depths below. When I too became dizzy, I woke up.

On 22 June I arrived at Brecht's.

Brecht speaks of the elegance and nonchalance of Virgil's and Dante's basic attitude, which, he says, forms the backdrop to Virgil's majestic gestus. He calls both Virgil and Dante 'promeneurs'. Emphasizing the classic rank of the Inferme, he says: 'You can read it out of doors.'

He speaks of his deep-rooted hatrod of priests, a hatred he inherited from his grandmother. He hints that those who have appropriated the theoretical doctrines of Marx and taken over their management will always form a clerical camarilla. Marxism lends itself all too easily to 'interpretation'. Today it is a hundred years old and what do we find? (At this point the conversation was interrupted.) "The State must wither away." Who says that? The State? (Here he can only mean the Soviet Union.) He assumes a cunning, furtive expression, stands in front of the chair in which I am sitting – he is impersonating 'the State' – and says, with a sly, sidelong glance at an imaginary interlocutor: 'I know I ought to wither away.'

A conversation about new Soviet novels. We no longer read them. The talk then turns to poetry and to the translations of poems from various languages in the USSR with which Das Wort is flooded. He says the poets over there are having a hard time. 'If Stalin's name doesn't occur in a poem, it's interpreted as intentional.'

soldiers? What's wrong with them?' Valentin: 'They're pale, they're rehearsal, and asked him: 'Well, what is it? What's the matter with these production of Le Cid, where the sight of the crown worn croakedly on may occur in third-rate provincial theatre. I mentioned the Geneva effects, impart epic characteristics to the production. Something similar children in which faults of performance, which produce alienation That was the day the style of the production was determined. tired.' Whereupon the soldiers' faces were thickly made up with chalk scared, that's what!' The remark settled the issue, Brecht adding: 'They're Valentin, at that time one of his closest friends, who was attending the [Lacis], his production assistant. Finally he turned in despair to Karl hour. Brecht couldn't stage-manage the soldiers, and neither could Asya battle in the play is supposed to occupy the stage for three-quarters of an happened at a rehearsal for the Munich production of Edward II. The moment at which the idea of epic theatre first came into his head. It in the Traverspiel book nine years later. Brecht in turn quoted the the king's head gave me the first inkling of the ideas I eventually developed 29 June. Brecht talks about epic theatre, and mentions plays acted by

Later the old subject of 'kogical positivism' came up. I adopted a somewhat intransigent attitude and the conversation threatened to take a disagreeable turn. This was avoided by Brecht admitting for the first time that his arguments were superficial. This he did with the delightful formula: 'A deep need makes for a superficial grasp.' Later, when we were walking to his house (the conversation had taken place in my room): 'It's a good thing when someone who has taken up an extreme position then goes into a period of reaction. That way he arrives at a half-way house.' That, he explained, was what had happened to him: he had become mellow.

Benjamin with Brecht

ድ

In the evening: I should like to get somebody to take a small present—a pair of gloves—to Asya. Brecht thinks this might be tricky. It could happen that someone thought the gloves were Jahnn's' way of repaying Asya for her espionage services. "The worst thing is when whole sets of directives² are withdrawn en bloc, but the instructions they contain are still supposed to remain in force."

I July. Whenever I refer to conditions in Russia, Brecht's comments are highly sceptical. When I inquired the other day whether Ottwald was still 'doing time' in goot, the answer came: 'If he's still got time, he'll be doing it.' Yesterday Gred Steffin expressed the opinion that Tretyakov was no longer alive.

4 July. Brecht in the course of a conversation on Baudelaire last night: 'I'm not against the asocial, you know; I'm against the non-social.'

21 July. The publications of Lukács, Kurella et al are giving Brecht a good deal of trouble. He thinks, however, that one ought not to oppose them at the theoretical level. I then put the question on the political level. He does not pull his punches. 'A socialist economy doesn't need war, and that is why it is opposed to war. The "peace-loving nature of the Russian people" is an expression of this and nothing else. There can't be a socialist economy in one country. Rearmament has inevitably set the Russian proletariat back a lot, back to stages of historical development which have long since been overtaken — among others, the monarchic stage. Russia is now under personal rule. Only blockheads can deny this, of course.' This was a short conversation which was soon interrupted. — I should add that in this context Brecht emphasized that

The name, presumably that of the proposed intermediary, cannot be deciphered with absolute certainty; perhaps Hans Henny Jahn?

Oncertain reading of the manuscript

Benjania with Brecht

97

as a result of the dissolution of the First International, Marx and Engels lost active contact with the working-class movement and thereafter only gave advice – of a private nature, not intended for publication – to individual leaders. Nor was it an accident – although regrettable – that at the end of his life Engels turned to the natural sciences.

Béla Kun, he said, was his greatest admirer in Russia. Brecht and Heine were the only German poets Kun studied [sic]. (Occasionally Brecht hints at the existence of a certain person on the Central Committee who supports him.)

Stalin is not incumbent upon Brecht, who is sitting in exile and waiting yet dead. Besides, a different, more enthusiastic manner of honouring of Stalin, who in his opinion had achieved great things. But Stalin is not sation which followed. In this conversation he emphasized, among other his Stalin poem, which is entitled 'The Peasant to his Ox'. At first I did ever you prefer', the suspicion is at present not yet a certainty. There is suspicion prove correct one day, then it will become necessary to fight affairs. Such scepticism is in the spirit of the Marxist classics. Should the picion - a justifiable one - demanding a sceptical appraisal of Russian for the Red Army to march in. He is following developments in Russia things, the positive aspects of the poem. It was indeed a poem in honour effect Brecht intended, and he explained what he meant in the converthrough my head, I did not dare entertain it. This was more or less the not get its point, and when a moment later the thought of Stalin passed 25 July. Yesterday morning Brecht came over to my place to read me no justification for constructing upon it a policy such as Trotsky's the regime, and publicly. But, 'unfortunately or God be praised, whichand also the writings of Trotsky. These prove that there exists a sushad to pay for the stand we took, we're covered with scars. It's only affected by the serbacks we have suffered in our own country. 'We have do.' Finally Brecht pointed out that we Germans have been especially work in Russia itself. One can see it, from time to time, by the harm they 'And then there's no doubt that certain criminal cliques really are at natural that we should be especially sensitive."

Towards evening Brecht found me in the garden reading Cupital. Brecht: 'I think it's very good that you're studying Marx just now, at a time when one comes across him less and less, especially among people like us.' I replied that I prefer studying the most talked-about authors when they were out of fashion. We went on to discuss Russian literary policy. I said, referring to Lukács, Gábot and Kurella: 'You can't put

certainly not a whole play. They are, to put it bluntly, enemies of on an act with people like this.' Brecht: 'You might put on an Act but pleased to hear it. - The Germans are a lousy nation [ein Scheissvolk]. the most significant works, was stamped with it. I remarked that Electric at the age of sixty, he was very much surprised. The book, he said, had author's youthful elegance. When I told him Goethe wrote this novel other people. Every one of their criticisms contains a threat.' We then to produce. They want to play the apparatchik and exercise control over never know what's going to come out. And they themselves don't want where you are with production; production is the unforseeable. You production. Production makes them uncomfortable. You never know Lyons was never a free city; the independent cities of the Renaissance intolerable thing about us Germans is our narrow-minded independence Germans in general. In me, too, everything that is German is bad. The It isn't true that one must not draw conclusions from Hitler about knew a thing or two about philistinism; all German drama, including nothing philistine about it. That was a tremendous achievement. He the Electrice Affinities. He said that what he admired about it was the got on to Goethe's novels, I don't remember how; Brecht knows only were city states. - Lukács is a German by choice, and he's run completely Nowhere else were there Imperial Free Cities, like that lousy Augsburg. Affinities had been very badly received when it came out. Brecht: 'I'm

Speaking of *The Finest Legends of Woymok the Brigand* by Anna Seghers, Brecht praised the book because it shows that Seghers is no longer writing to order. 'Seghers can't produce to order, whereas without an order, I wouldn't even know how to start writing.' He also praised the stories for having a rebellious, solitary figure as their central character.

26 July. Brecht, last night: 'There can't be any doubt about it any longer the struggle against ideology has become a new ideology.'

29 July. Brecht read to me some polemical texts he has written as part of his controversy with Lukács, studies for an essay which is to be published in Das Wort. He asked my advice whether to publish them. As, at the same time, he told me that Lukács's position 'over there' is at the moment very strong, I told him I could offer no advice. 'There are questions of power involved. You ought to get the opinion of somebody from over there. You've got friends there, haven't you?' - Brecht: 'Actually, no, I haven't. Neither have the Muscovites themselves - like

the dead.

capacity to understand my mania will be passed on with it. The times almost before it has been achieved. Brecht: 'I know; they'll say of me the private poems made the experience of exile particularly explicit, and in favour, because I thought that the contrast between the political and conversation came round to the question whether a part of the Children's speaking like this I felt a power being exercised over me which was everything. Every living cell shrinks under their blows. That is why His discovery of moderation, Brecht said, should find expression in this we live in will make a backdrop to my mania. But what I should really that I was manic. When the present is passed on to the future, the the destructive aspect of Brecht's character, which challenges everything In saying this, I probably implied that the suggestion once again reflected this contrast would be diminished by the inclusion of a disparate sequence. Sangs cycle should be included in the new volume of poems. I was not turn, which further intensified this feeling I had. They're planning equal in strength to the power of fascism, a power that sprang from womb. We must on no account leave out the children.' While he was we too must think of everything. They eripple the buby in the mother's things. Colossal crimes. They stop at nothing. They're out to destroy about it. They're planning for thirty thousand years ahead. Colossal against that lot. What they're planning is nothing small, make no mistake Songs in the Poems from Exile: 'We must neglect nothing in our struggle he added yet another argument in favour of including the Children's than victory over fascism. But then, with a vehemence he rarely shows, history' of which he speaks in his poem addressed to artists. A few days there will always be children. He was thinking of the 'epoch without volume of verse; the recognition that life goes on despite Hitler, that like would be for people to say about me; he was a moderate manic.' -devastations on a mind-chilling scale. That's why they can't reach curious feeling, and new to me. Then Brecht's thoughts took another depths of history no less deep than the power of the fascists. It was a very later he told me he thought the coming of such an epoch more likely my stage and my audience. From my own vantage-point I can't admit agreement with the Church, which is also geared to thousands of years house, my fish-pond and my car from me; they've also robbed me of And they've proletarianized me too. It isn't just that they've taken my that Shakespeare's talent was categorically greater than mine. But August. On 29 July in the evening, while we were in the garden, the

Shakespeare couldn't have written just for his desk drawer, any more than I can. Besides, he had his characters before his eyes. The people he depicted were running around in the streets. He just observed their behaviour and picked out a few traits; there were many others, just as important, that he left out.'

Benjamin with Brecht

9

Early August. 'In Russia there is dictatorship over the proletariat. We should avoid dissociating ourselves from this dictatorship for as long as it still does useful work for the proletariat – i.e. so long as it contributes towards a reconciliation between the proletariat and the peasantry, giving prime recognition to proletarian interests.' A few days later Brecht spoke of a 'workers' monarchy', and I compared this creature with certain grotesque sports of nature dredged up from the depths of the sea in the form of hornod fish or other monsters.

25 August. A Brechtian maxim: 'Don't start from the good old things but the bad new ones.'

Translated by Anja Bustack